APPLICATION," "COMPETING APPLICATION," "COMMENTS," "REPLY COMMENTS, "RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS AND CONDITIONS," or "PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 1027, at the above address. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application. A copy of all other filings in reference to this application must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed in the service list prepared by the

INTENT TO FILE COMPETING

385,2010. D9. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—The application is ready for environmental analysis at this time, and the Commission is requesting comments, reply comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, and prescriptions.

Commission in this proceeding, in

accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and

The Commission directs, pursuant to section 4.34(b) of the regulations (see Order No. 533 issued May 8, 1991, 56 FR 23108, May 20, 1991) that all comments, recommendations, terms and conditions and prescriptions concerning the application be filed with the Commission within 60 days from the issuance date of this notice (June 2, 1995 for Project No. 2474–004; June 5, 1995 for Project No. 2188-030). All reply comments must be filed with the Commission within 105 days from the date of this notice (July 17, 1995 for Project No. 2474-004; July 20, 1995 for Project No. 2188–030).

Anyone may obtain an extension of time for these deadlines from the

Commission only upon a showing of good cause or extraordinary circumstances in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2008.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "REPLY COMMENTS'

"RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS

AND CONDITIONS," or "PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person submitting the filing; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 1027, at the above address. Each filing must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed on the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b), and 385.2010.

Dated: April 17, 1995, Washington, D. C. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–9855 Filed 4–20–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### [Docket No. CP71-319-000, et al.]

# Colorado Interstate Gas Co., et al.; **Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

April 12, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. Colorado Interstate Gas Company

[Docket No. CP71-319-000]

Take notice that on April 4, 1995, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP71–319–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to amend the order of November 18, 1971 to allow CIG to increase the maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of its Oregon Basin Lateral (Lateral), all as more fully set forth in the application

on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that by order of November 18, 1971, at Docket No. CP71-319, CIG was authorized, in part, to construct and operate the Lateral, a 19.7 mile six-inch diameter lateral in Park and Big Horn Counties, Wyoming. Because of negotiations with a shipper, CIG now needs to increase the capacity of the Lateral by installing compression under its blanket certificate. This additional compression will result in the need to increase the MAOP of the Lateral. CIG avers that the increase in the MAOP would be consistent with Part 192 of 49 CFR.

Comment date: May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

# 2. Washington Natural Gas Company, as Project Operator

[Docket No. CP95-300-000]

Take notice that on April 5, 1995, Washington Natural Gas Company (Washington Natural), as Project Operator of the Jackson Prairie Storage Project, 815 Mercer Street, Seattle, Washington 98109 filed an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Washington Natural to construct facilities and to inject additional cushion gas to increase the maximum daily deliverability of the Jackson Prairie Storage Project (Storage Project) located in Lewis County Washington from 450 Mmcf/d to 550 Mmcf/d, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

To increase the deliverability of the Storage Project, Washington Natural requests Commission authority to:

- Increase the cushion gas of Zone 2 of the Storage Project from 16.8 Bcf to
- Construct four injection/withdrawal wells with appurtenant facilities in Zone 2 of the Storage Project;
- Upgrade existing compressors C6 and C7 by a total of 2,000 horsepower;
- Install an additional dehydration unit:
- Add two air-cooled heat exchangers; and
- Make miscellaneous station piping modifications.

Washington Natural says the estimated cost of the new facilities and the cushion gas will be \$5,375,000 which will be shared equally among the three partners in the Storage Project: Washington Natural, Washington Water Power Company (Water Power), and

Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest).

Washington Natural states that each of the three partners in the Storage Project is entitled to one-third of the proposed increased deliverability. Washington Natural says there will be no change in the seasonal working capacity of the Storage Project. Further, Washington Natural says that Northwest will file an application in the near future to provide the increased deliverability to subscribing customers under Northwest's SGS rate schedules.

Comment date: May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

# 3. ANR Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-301-000]

Take notice that on April 5, 1995, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP95–301–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon two transportation services performed for Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company (Panhandle), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ANR proposes to abandon two transportation services performed for Panhandle which are designated as Rate Schedules X–92 and X–93 and contained in Original Volume No. 2 of its FERC Gas Tariff. The transportation services were authorized in Docket No. CP78–545. It is stated that no facilities are proposed to be abandoned.

Comment date: May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### 4. CNG Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-302-000]

Take notice that on April 5, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26302, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for an order authorizing the abandonment of a certificated exchange of gas 1 in CNG's production area in West Virginia with Pennzoil Products, Inc. (Pennzoil), pursuant to CNG's Rate Schedule No.  $\bar{X}$ –50, to be effective January 1, 1995. CNG's application is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CNG states that due to the fact that CNG is no longer purchasing gas from

Pennzoil, the exchange of natural gas production between the parties is no longer needed. CNG states that pursuant to the provisions of a Letter Agreement between CNG and Pennzoil, the parties have agreed to cancel and terminate Rate Schedule X–50 for the exchange of natural gas at certain delivery and receipt points in the State of West Virginia. The original term of the Exchange Agreement was to continue until January 1, 1992, and from year to year thereafter until terminated by either party on six months' notice.

CNG states that no customers' service will be affected by the cancellation of Rate Schedule No. X–50. CNG states that no facilities are involved in the abandonment of this rate schedule.

Comment date: May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

# 5. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-305-000]

Take notice that on April 6, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314, filed in Docket No. CP95-305-000 an application pursuant to Sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to abandon certain pipeline facilities and to construct and operate other pipeline facilities to replace those being abandoned in Madison County, Ohio, and to increase the pressure on another part of the system, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia proposes to abandon approximately 1.2 miles of 18-inch pipeline and appurtenances on Line A of its mainline system and to construct and operate 1.2 miles of 20-inch pipeline and appurtenances as replacement facilities. It is stated that the existing facilities were installed in 1941 and have deteriorated to the point where they can no longer provide adequate service to existing customers at current levels. It is further stated that the proposed replacement would enable Columbia to ensure continued service to its customers and the integrity of the line.

Columbia also proposes to increase the maximum allowable operating pressure on a 23.8 mile segment of its Line A from 400 psig to 500 psig. It is stated that this increase would involve a segment of pipeline from the Mt. Sterling gate valve to the Howell Measuring Station. It is asserted that the increased pressure would allow Columbia to take advantage of the increased capabilities of the new

pipeline. Columbia asserts that it does not propose any new or additional service as a result of the pipeline replacement or pressure increase. Columbia further asserts that the proposal would not result in any abandonment of service to existing customers.

The construction cost is estimated at \$778,200, which would be generated from internal sources. It is estimated that the increase in pressure would cost an additional \$30,000.

Comment date: May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

### 6. Koch Gateway Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-309-000]

Take notice that on April 7, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP95-309-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to operate as a jurisdictional facility, a delivery tap placed in service under Section 311(a) of the Natural Gas Policy Act under Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Gateway proposes to provide transportation services under its blanket transportation certificate through an existing delivery tap serving Entex, Inc., a local distribution company, in Rusk County, Texas, after receiving the proposed certification.

Comment date: May 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### 7. Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-312-000]

Take notice that on April 10, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), P.O. Box 3330, Omaha, Nebraska, 68103-0330, filed in Docket No. CP95-312-000 an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, and §§ 157.7 and 157.18 of the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission's** (Commission) Regulations thereunder, for permission to abandon one field area compressor unit and appurtenant facilities located in Reagan County, Texas, and an entire compression station located in Pecos County, Texas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation, 28 FERC ¶ 62,072 (1984).

Northern proposes to abandon inplace a single staged 1,080 horsepower compressor unit (Big Lake Unit No. 1) located at its Big Lake compressor station (Big Lake Station) in Reagan County, Texas. Northern indicates that currently the Big Lake Station consists of four compressor units totaling 4,360 horsepower. Northern indicates that the installation of blind flanges or weld caps during abandonment will be completed, as required, so as not to affect the operation of the remaining units at the Big Lake Station.

Northern advises that it proposes to abandon in its entirety the Pecos County No. 2 Station (Pecos Station), which currently consists of three compressor units, totaling 3,000 horsepower, and to move two of those units to a new facility to be constructed during the summer 1995, the Jal Compressor Station located in Lea County, New Mexico. Northern states that the new facility will be constructed on Northern's 16 inch transmission branchline approximately 26 miles north of the existing Kermit Compressor Station in Winkler County, Texas, and will be installed pursuant to Northern's blanket authority granted on September 1, 1982, in Docket No. CP82-401. Northern proposes to abandon the remaining unit at the Pecos Station inplace. Northern further indicates that all gas and service piping to the Pecos Station will be disconnected and sealed off either by the installation of blind flanges or weld caps.

Northern avers that it intends to utilize the remaining Pecos Station unit and the Big Lake unit No. one, or parts from these units, in the future at other locations within Northern's field area as the need for these units may arise. Northern states that in certain instances, the units proposed to be abandoned may be salvaged rather than utilized elsewhere on Northern's pipeline system. Northern indicates that it will seek, to the extent applicable, the required Commission authority in order to install and operate these compressor facilities at a new location if these facilities are to be utilized in the future. Northern further states that the Big Lake compressor Unit No. 1 and the Pecos Station are not required due to reduced deliverability in the systems located upstream of the compressor units. Northern advises that the abandonment of the Big Lake unit and the Pecos Station will not result in the abandonment of service to any of Northern's existing customers or producers, nor will the proposed abandonment adversely impact capacity since this compression is no longer needed by Northern to receive the

remaining gas supplies available from upstream gathering systems.

Comment date: May 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### 8. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-313-000]

Take notice that on April 10, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP95-313-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to upgrade an existing delivery point through which it delivers gas to Indiana Gas Company, Inc. (Indiana Gas) in Parke County Indiana, under Texas Gas's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that Indiana Gas has requested that Texas Gas increase the measurement capability at the Hercules Powder Meter Station to allow Indiana Gas to serve, in addition to existing requirements, new load attributable to the Wabash River Coal Gasification Project. Texas Gas proposes to upgrade the meter station by replacing the dual 2-inch meter runs, two 2-inch side valves and related piping with a dual 4inch station at this point. It is stated that Indiana Gas will reimburse Texas Gas for the cost of upgrading the measurement facilities, which is estimated to be \$92,700.

It is further stated that Indiana Gas has informed Texas Gas that it will not require any increase in existing firm contract quantities to accommodate service at the delivery point as the new load will be served with interruptible and capacity release volumes.

Comment date: May 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

# **Standard Paragraphs**

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the

appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–9858 Filed 4–20–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### [Docket No. CP95-318-000]

# Williams Gas Processing—Mid-Continent Region Company; Petition for Declaratory Order

April 17, 1995.

Take notice that on April 11, 1995, Williams Gas Processing—Mid-